Stump the Statistician

Miscellaneous Design, SAS questions

1.In a factorial or split plot analysis when there is a significant interaction between the two factors, how do I proceed to do treatment mean comparisons?

1. In a factorial or split plot analysis when there is a significant interaction between the two factors, how do I proceed to do treatment mean comparisons?

- Is this type of comparison meaningful?

1.In a factorial or split plot analysis when there is a significant interaction between the two factors, how do I proceed to do treatment mean comparisons?

- Is this type of comparison meaningful?
 - Nontrivial averaging over other factors.

1.In a factorial or split plot analysis when there is a significant interaction between the two factors, how do I proceed to do treatment mean comparisons?

- Is this type of comparison meaningful?
 - Nontrivial averaging over other factors.
 - Stratified main effects instead? (May require different error terms.)

2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?

2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
– *Favorites*, perhaps?

2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?

- Favorites, perhaps?

Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.

- 2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
 - Favorites, perhaps?
 - Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.
 - Good power against violations that give ANOVA fits.

- 2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
 - Favorites, perhaps?
 - Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.
 - Good power against violations that give ANOVA fits.
 - Not as nonspecific as Kolmogorov-Smirnov.

- 2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
 - Favorites, perhaps?
 - Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.
 - Good power against violations that give ANOVA fits.
 - Not as nonspecific as Kolmogorov-Smirnov.
 - Homoscedasticity: I like Levene.

- 2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
 - Favorites, perhaps?
 - Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.
 - Good power against violations that give ANOVA fits.
 - Not as nonspecific as Kolmogorov-Smirnov.
 - Homoscedasticity: I like Levene.
 - "redo" of ANOVA on $|r_i|$ (or r_i^2).

- 2.Which are the best tests for the assumptions of analysis of variance?
 - Favorites, perhaps?
 - Normality: I like Wilk-Shapiro.
 - Good power against violations that give ANOVA fits.
 - Not as nonspecific as Kolmogorov-Smirnov.
 - Homoscedasticity: I like Levene.
 - "redo" of ANOVA on $|r_i|$ (or r_i^2).
 - If there are problems, points to a solution.

3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?

3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?

- "What is truth?" -Pontius Pilate

- 3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?
 - "What is truth?" -Pontius Pilate
 - Removing outliers changes your hypotheses.

- 3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?
 - "What is truth?" -Pontius Pilate
 - Removing outliers changes your hypotheses.
 - Correct errors first

- 3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?
 - "What is truth?" -Pontius Pilate
 - Removing outliers changes your hypotheses.
 - Correct errors first
 - Remove *documentable* outliers (no criterion).

- 3.Which is/are the best test(s) for identifying outliers?
 - "What is truth?" -Pontius Pilate
 - Removing outliers changes your hypotheses.
 - Correct errors first
 - Remove *documentable* outliers (no criterion).
 - Removal based on test results as a final resort.

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?
– Biological considerations.

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?

- Biological considerations.
 - Hypotheses change again.

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?

- Biological considerations.
 - Hypotheses change again.
 - Interpretation of outliers.

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?

- Biological considerations.
 - Hypotheses change again.
 - Interpretation of outliers.

Address normality first, constant variance 2nd.

4.How can I tell if a particular transformation is statistically appropriate?

- Biological considerations.
 - Hypotheses change again.
 - Interpretation of outliers.
- Address normality first, constant variance 2nd.
- Don't believe what you learned in school.
 - E.G., re: arcsine square root transformation.

Sample size calculation

5.If I have a general idea of what the coefficient of variation of a variable is likely to be prior to the start of a field trial, how do I determine how many replicate blocks I should test to have a reasonable shot at getting significance?

Sample size calculation

5.If I have a general idea of what the coefficient of variation of a variable is likely to be prior to the start of a field trial, how do I determine how many replicate blocks I should test to have a reasonable shot at getting significance?

This assumes proportional effects / log transformation.

Sample size calculation

5.If I have a general idea of what the coefficient of variation of a variable is likely to be prior to the start of a field trial, how do I determine how many replicate blocks I should test to have a reasonable shot at getting significance?

- This assumes proportional effects / log transformation.
- Tools include Russ Lenth's applets.

6.On a quantitative variable (fertilizer rate, PGR rate, etc.) I get significant linear and quadratic effects; what are the rules for deciding which response form is the 'real' one?

6.On a quantitative variable (fertilizer rate, PGR rate, etc.) I get significant linear and quadratic effects; what are the rules for deciding which response form is the 'real' one?

- Why polynomial in the first place? (Taylor)

6.On a quantitative variable (fertilizer rate, PGR rate, etc.) I get significant linear and quadratic effects; what are the rules for deciding which response form is the 'real' one?

Why polynomial in the first place? (Taylor)
Does the biology help you answer this?

6.On a quantitative variable (fertilizer rate, PGR rate, etc.) I get significant linear and quadratic effects; what are the rules for deciding which response form is the 'real' one?

- Why polynomial in the first place? (Taylor)
- Does the biology help you answer this?
- Transform if necessary.

6.On a quantitative variable (fertilizer rate, PGR rate, etc.) I get significant linear and quadratic effects; what are the rules for deciding which response form is the 'real' one?

- Why polynomial in the first place? (Taylor)
- Does the biology help you answer this?
- Transform if necessary.
- Nonlinear tools (e.g., GAM)

7.On a multiple factor test I run the anova with the block and all interaction terms, all of which turn out to be non-significant. The main effects are not quite significant at p<0.05. Is it kosher to run the anova again without the block and interaction terms in the model (thereby increasing the error d.f. and increasing the chance of a significant main treatment effect)?

7. Is changing original model "kosher"? – Probably not.

- 7. Is changing original model "kosher"?– Probably not.
 - Is .05 "sacred"? Is .049 all that different from .051?

7. Is changing original model "kosher"?– Probably not.

Is .05 "sacred"? Is .049 all that different from .051?
Why did you block in first place?

- 7. Is changing original model "kosher"?– Probably not.
 - Is .05 "sacred"? Is .049 all that different from .051?
 - Why did you block in first place?
 - Opportunity to correct stupid mistakes?

- LSD versus PLSD.
- Is the overall ANOVA a "lean" test or were some treatments there for other reasons?

- LSD versus PLSD.
- Is the overall ANOVA a "lean" test or were some treatments there for other reasons?
- Are the means comparisons the bottom line?

- LSD versus PLSD.
- Is the overall ANOVA a "lean" test or were some treatments there for other reasons?
- Are the means comparisons the bottom line?
 - Scheffe is only method for which F ↔ post hoc

9. There seems to be a growing prejudice against the old standard mean separation tests (Duncans, Tukeys), with other measures gaining favor; how do I choose which mean separation test is appropriate for my data?

9. There seems to be a growing prejudice against the old standard mean separation tests (Duncans, Tukeys), with other measures gaining favor; how do I choose which mean separation test is appropriate for my data?

- Old disagreement re: Duncan's method.

9.There seems to be a growing prejudice against the old standard mean separation tests (Duncans, Tukeys), with other measures gaining favor; how do I choose which mean separation test is appropriate for my data?

- Old disagreement re: Duncan's method.

Most standard methods are approx. anyway.

9. There seems to be a growing prejudice against the old standard mean separation tests (Duncans, Tukeys), with other measures gaining favor; how do I choose which mean separation test is appropriate for my data?

- Old disagreement re: Duncan's method.
- Most standard methods are approx. anyway.
- Newer methods: more flexible but misunderstood.

10.Are we too "uncreative" because of our traditional training with "aggie statistics" to be able to come up with or readily use other means for analyzing systems or phenomena that we are interested in? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

- Classical stats are classical for good reasons.

Flexibility and Robustness, to name two.

10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

- Flexibility and Robustness, to name two.
- Well-developed and ingrained, to name two others.

10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

- Flexibility and Robustness, to name two.
- Well-developed and ingrained, to name two others.
- There's other "good stuff" out there.

10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?

- Flexibility and Robustness, to name two.
- Well-developed and ingrained, to name two others.
- There's other "good stuff" out there.
 - Follow applied journals (like American Statistician)

- 10.Are we too "uncreative"? How do we best learn more creative approaches to doing our analytical work?
 - Classical stats are classical for good reasons.
 - Flexibility and Robustness, to name two.
 - Well-developed and ingrained, to name two others.
 - There's other "good stuff" out there.
 - Follow applied journals (like American Statistician)
 - Follow new developments in S-plus or R (or SAS, for that matter).